

**From:** drupal\_admin <drupal\_admin@epa.gov>  
**Sent:** Monday, September 05, 2016 6:46 PM  
**To:** HarborComments  
**Subject:** Harbor Comments

Submitted on 09/05/2016 8:45PM

Submitted values are:

Your Name: (b) (6)

Your Email: (b) (6)

Your Comments:

I don't believe that the proposed clean up plan goes far enough to ensure that the Willamette is a clean, safe and healthy river. The Willamette should be cleaned up enough so that people can safely eat fish caught in the river and safely recreate in the superfund site area. Additionally the river needs to be clean enough to provide a healthy environment for wildlife.

EPA should select Alternative G with additional dredging in areas of high human use, areas of high ecological value, and areas where there is high risk of re-contamination of the river.

The EPA should also set much clearer timelines and metrics for success including setting an explicit date by which Portland Harbor specific fish consumption advisories will be lifted.

Disposal options that DO NOT include a Confined Disposal Facility should be selected. Options that DO include treatment of dredged sediment to breakdown or bind contaminants should also be selected. The EPA's cleanup plan should also include the heavily contaminated uplands as well as the heavily contaminated Select disposal options

The Willamette River defines our city. An 8% clean up is not enough. We deserve a more comprehensive plan to ensure a clean and safe river for all.

Thank you.